## EXHIBIT 95

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE 2 3 4 NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually and Behalf of All Others Similarly 5 Situated, 6 Plaintiff, CASE NO. VS. 7 3:16-CV-02267 **CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF** AMERICA, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 12 CONFIDENTIAL VIDEO DEPOSITION OF KIM WHITE 13 14 Nashville, Tennessee November 15, 2019 15 16 17 18 Reported by: 19 20 Elisabeth A. Miller Lorenz 21 RMR, CRR, LCR No. 66 22 23 Job No.: 10061571 24 25

Page 2 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE 2 3 NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually 4 and Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, 5 6 Plaintiff. CASE NO. VS. 7 3:16-CV-02267 CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF 8 AMERICA, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Video deposition of KIM WHITE was taken on 17 18 behalf of Plaintiff, at Riley, Warnock & Jacobson, 1906 West End Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, 19 beginning at 9:33 a.m., and ending at 1:48 p.m., on 20 21 Tuesday, November 15, 2019, before Elisabeth A. 22 Miller Lorenz, RMR, CRR, and LCR No. 66. 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES:	Page 3
	For the Plaintiff:	
3	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD	
	BY: CHRISTOPHER WOOD	
4	414 Union Street	
	Suite 900	
5	Nashville, Tennessee 37219	
	615.252.3798	
6	cwood@rgrlaw.com	
7		
8	For the Defendants:	
9	RILEY, WARNOCK & JACOBSON	
	BY: TREY McGEE	
10	1906 West End Avenue	
	Nashville, Tennessee 37203	
11		
	tmcgee@rwjplc.com	
12		
4.0	LATHAM & WATKINS	
13	BY: MERYN CN GRANT	
	355 South Grand Avenue	
14	Los Angeles, California 90071-1560	
1 5	213.485.1234	
15	, 5	
16	LATHAM & WATKINS BY: SARAH A. TOMKOWIAK	
17		
17	Suite 1000	
18	_	
10	202.637.2335	
19		
20	_	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 6 MR. McGEE: Trey McGee, Riley, 1 Warnock & Jacobson on behalf of the witness and 2 3 defendants. 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in 5 the witness. 6 7 8 KIM WHITE 9 was called as a witness, and after having been first 10 duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. WOOD: 13 Good morning, Ms. White. 14 Good morning. 15 Have you had your deposition taken before? 16 Have I had depositions taken before? Uh-huh. 17 18 Α Yes. How many times have you had your deposition 19 Q taken? 20 Just a few. I don't have a specific number, 21 22 but I've done it in the past. Were they related to your work at CoreCivic 23 Q or the Bureau of Prisons? 24 25 Related to work at the Bureau of Prisons.

- 1 Q But you don't have any under- -- any further
- 2 understanding of what you meant by contract
- 3 implications in this e-mail?
- 4 A Well, it's not that I don't understand; I
- 5 just don't remember the sequence of events. It's
- 6 been almost four years ago.
- 7 Q Yeah.
- 8 A At this point, I -- I just don't recall
- 9 exactly what I was referencing in that.
- 10 Q Do you recall in general being concerned
- 11 that CoreCivic might lose the contract at Cibola?
- 12 MS. TOMKOWIAK: Objection.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I was always concerned as
- 14 a human resource executive of our ability to meet
- our contract requirements. So that -- if I were to
- 16 ever raise it, it would always be kind of at the
- 17 front of my mind.
- 18 BY MR. WOOD:
- 19 Q But there must be some facilities that
- 20 you're more concerned about than others, right? If
- there are some facilities that are fully staffed,
- 22 from an HR perspective, you're not staying up at
- 23 night worrying about it.
- 24 A Not about --
- 25 MS. TOMKOWIAK: Objection.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Not about staffing, but
- 2 it certainly could be other issues, because staffing
- 3 is one of many things that are on the front of my
- 4 kind of things that are hot and keep me up at night,
- 5 to your point.
- 6 BY MR. WOOD:
- 7 Q Do you recall having a specific concern
- 8 about Cibola and whether or not problems at Cibola
- 9 were so severe that CCA might lose that contract?
- 10 A From my perspective, I was not concerned
- 11 about losing a specific contract. I was more
- 12 concerned about meeting contract requirements.
- 13 Q Were -- okay. Maybe I could rephrase my --
- 14 do you have a specific concern that CCA wasn't
- 15 meeting its contract requirements with respect to
- 16 Cibola?
- 17 A Well, as I think history reflects, we had a
- 18 cure notice at Cibola specifically related to
- medical performance. And there were, I think,
- 20 legitimate reasons why staffing might have been an
- 21 influence on that. So -- a cure notice is a serious
- thing, and it's something that relates back to our
- 23 inability to meet contract requirements.
- 24 So that's always going to be the
- 25 underpinning any time something as serious as a cure

- 1 notice goes by.
- 2 Did I believe at the time that we wouldn't
- 3 be able to fix the problem and then make the leap
- 4 to, we would lose the contract? No, I did not.
- 5 Q Okay. Well, at some -- at some point,
- 6 CoreCivic did lose the contract, right? So --
- 7 A And I think history reflects that the BOP
- 8 did not renew that contract, yes.
- 9 (Marked Exhibit No. 136.)
- 10 BY MR. WOOD:
- 11 Q I'm passing you what's been marked
- 12 Exhibit 136.
- 13 MR. WOOD: Exhibit 136 is Bates
- 14 No. CoreCivic 1017830.
- 15 BY MR. WOOD:
- 16 Q And it also includes -- just to ensure we're
- 17 giving you appropriate context, it also includes
- another e-mail, which has the attachment, which is
- 19 referenced in the bottom half of that first page.
- 20 So we put those together, and I will provide the
- 21 Bates number for that attachment after the
- 22 deposition.
- 23 MS. TOMKOWIAK: Chris, that looks like
- 24 a different e-mail, though, than the earlier one in
- this e-mail chain, so just for the record, what's

- 1 your basis for saying it's the same?
- 2 MR. WOOD: Yeah, so it's not different.
- 3 The -- the original e-mail from Wendy Gardner is the
- 4 same on the bottom half of the first page as the one
- 5 on the bottom half of the second page, right.
- 6 And then the second e-mail has a
- 7 response from Scott Craddock, and the first one has
- 8 a response from Kim White.
- 9 So we were just trying to make sure
- 10 that what we gave the witness included the original
- 11 attachment, which wasn't in the response on the
- 12 first page.
- Do you see what I'm saying?
- 14 MS. TOMKOWIAK: I do. I understand
- 15 your position. The e-mail still looks slightly
- different, but that might just be because of the
- 17 e-mail system at CoreCivic.
- 18 MR. WOOD: Yeah. They're both sent
- 19 from Wendy Gardner on July 15, 2016, at 6:25 p.m.
- MS. TOMKOWIAK: Uh-huh, I see that.
- MR. WOOD: So I'm sure they're the
- 22 same.
- 23 BY MR. WOOD:
- 24 Q Okay. Do you recognize Exhibit 136?
- 25 A I do.

I, the undersigned, a Licensed Court	
Reporter of the State of Tennessee, do hereby	
certify:	
That the foregoing proceedings were	
taken before me at the time and place herein set	
forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing	
proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn;	
that a record of the proceedings was made by me	
using machine shorthand, which was thereafter	
transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing	
transcript is a true record of the testimony given.	
Further, that if the foregoing pertains	
to the original transcript of a deposition in a	
federal case, before completion of the proceedings,	
review of the transcript [ X ] was [ ] was not	
requested.	
I further certify I am neither	
financially interested in the action nor a relative	
or employee of any attorney or party to this action.	
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date	
subscribed my name.	
Dated: December 3, 2019	
Elisabeth A Muler Franz	
Elisabeth A. Miller Lorenz	
RMR, CRR, LCR No. 66	